# Regioselective Synthesis of Indolo[1,2‑c]quinazolines and 11H-Indolo[3,2-c]quinolines via Copper-Catalyzed Cascade Reactions of 2‑(2-Bromoaryl)‑1H‑indoles with Aldehydes and Aqueous Ammonia

Shenghai Guo,\* Li Tao, Wenwen Zhang, Xinying Zhang, and Xuesen Fan\*

Collaborative Inn[ova](#page-8-0)tion Center of Henan Province for Green Manufacturing of Fine Chemica[ls,](#page-8-0) Key Laboratory of Green Chemical Media and Reactions, Ministry of Education, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, P. R. China

# **S** Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: Highly selective and convenient synthesis of indolo  $1,2-c$  quinazolines and  $11H$ -indolo  $3,2-c$  quinolines through copper-catalyzed one-pot cascade reactions of 2-(2-bromoaryl)-1H-indoles with aldehydes and aqueous ammonia has been achieved. Notably, the regioselectivity was easily controlled by tuning the reaction conditions. Compared with literature methods, the present protocol features easily controlled selectivity, readily available starting materials, good functional group tolerance, and simple operation procedures.

# **■ INTRODUCTION**

Indoloquinazolines and indoloquinolines have attracted much attention since they are frequently found in natural products and synthetic compounds with a broad spectrum of biological activities (Figure 1).<sup>1</sup> For example, indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines exhibit potent antibacterial and antifungal activities. $2$  Mean-while, 11H[-indolo\[3](#page-1-0),[2](#page-9-0)-c]quinolines have been used as promising antimalarial and anticancer drug candidate[s](#page-9-0), $3$  selective protein kinase DYRK1A inhibitors, $4$  and DNA intercalator<sup>5</sup> for inhibiting DNA replication, transcription, and/or t[o](#page-9-0)poisomerase activities.

In view of their importance, several synthetic routes have been developed for the preparation of indolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline and  $11H$ -indolo $\left[3,2-c\right]$ quinoline derivatives. For example, the synthesis of indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines has been achieved through cyclocondensation of 2-(2-aminoaryl)indoles with aldehydes, acyl cyanides, or nitriles,<sup>2,6</sup> and copper-catalyzed tandem reactions of 2-(2-bromoaryl)indoles with benzylamines, amino acids, or amidines.<sup>7</sup> Mean[whil](#page-9-0)e, palladium-catalyzed isocyanide insertion reactions of 2- $(2$ -aminoaryl)indoles,<sup>8</sup> goldcatalyzed cyclizations of [a](#page-9-0)cyclic alkynes, $9$  and aza-Wittig r[e](#page-9-0)actions of isocyanates with imino-phosphoranes $^{10}$  have been utilized for the preparation of  $11H$ -ind[ol](#page-9-0)o $\left[3,2-c\right]$ quinoline derivatives. Although these existing synthetic ro[ute](#page-9-0)s are quite effective, they are only suitable for the preparation of either indolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazolines or  $11H$ -indolo $[3,2-c]$ quinolines. In addition, most of them still suffer from expensive or difficult-toobtain starting materials or reagents, a multistep reaction sequence, limited substrate scope, or harsh reaction conditions. Therefore, the development of simple, practical, and general synthetic methods for the selective synthesis of indolo  $[1,2$  $c$ ]quinazoline and 11H-indolo[3,2- $c$ ]quinoline derivatives from the same starting materials is highly desirable.

On the other hand, copper-catalyzed cross-coupling reaction of aryl halides with amines or aqueous ammonia turned out to be a powerful tool for the construction of aromatic C(sp $^2$ )–N bonds. $^{11}$  Recently, by employing copper-catalyzed aromatic  $C(sp^2)$ -N bond formation reaction as a key step, we have devel[ope](#page-9-0)d a series of practical and efficient synthetic routes toward various bioactive N-fused heterocyclic compounds.<sup>12</sup> As a continuation of our study in this aspect, we disclose herein a convenient synthesis of indolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline and  $11H 11H$  $indolo[3,2-c]$ quinoline derivatives through copper-catalyzed one-pot two-step cascade reactions of 2-(2-bromoaryl)-1Hindoles with aldehydes and aqueous ammonia. Interestingly, highly selective synthesis of the above-mentioned two classes of indole-fused heterocycles from the same starting materials was easily accomplished by simply tuning the reaction conditions. Now, we would like to report the details of these transformations.

Received: September 4, 2015 Published: October 16, 2015

<span id="page-1-0"></span>



# Table 1. Optimization Study for the Synthesis of  $4a^a$





a<br>The reactions were run with (1) 1a (0.4 mmol), 2a (0.8 mmol), aqueous ammonia (26%, 0.4 mL), catalyst (0.04 mmol), base (0.8 mmol), ligand (0.08 mmol), solvent (1.5 mL),  $T$  (°C),  $N_2$ , 12 h; (2)  $T$  (°C), air, 8 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield.

# ■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Initially, 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1H-indole (1a) was treated with benzaldehyde (2a) and aqueous ammonia in the presence of CuI and  $K_2CO_3$  in DMSO at 100 °C under a nitrogen atmosphere. TLC analysis showed that 1a was consumed completely in 12 h to give 6-phenyl-5,6-dihydroindolo[1,2 c]quinazoline (3a). Subsequent treatment of the resulting mixture at 100 °C for 8 h under air afforded the desired 6 phenylindolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline  $(4a)$  in a total yield of 65%. Meanwhile, 6-phenyl-11H-indolo $[3,2-c]$ quinoline  $(5a)$  as an unexpected byproduct was also isolated in 5% yield (Table 1, entry 1). To improve the efficiency, we commenced to optimize the reaction parameters (Table 1). First, five different copper catalysts were screened by using  $K_2CO_3$  as base and DMSO as solvent (entries 1−5). Among them, CuI proved to be optimal for the formation of 4a. With CuI as catalyst, the effect of inorganic and organic bases on this cascade reaction was also

investigated. It was found that  $K_2CO_3$  provided the highest yield of 4a (entries 1 and 6−10). Screening of different ligands revealed that L-proline was the most efficient (entries 1 and 11−14). Next, several solvents, such as DMF, DMAC, NMP, 1,4-dioxane, and toluene, were also tried as the reaction medium, and all of them were found to be less effective than DMSO (entries 11 and 15−19). Moreover, it was observed that decreasing or increasing the reaction temperature from 100 °C resulted in decreased yields of 4a (entries 11 and 20−22).

With the optimized reaction conditions (Table 1, entry 11) in hand, we then studied the scope and generality of this new method for the preparation of indolo  $[1,2-c]$  quinazolines (4), and the results are shown in Table 2. First, the reactions of different aldehydes (2) with 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1H-indole (1a) and aqueous ammonia [were inv](#page-2-0)estigated. It was found that aryl-substituted aldehydes with either electron-donating groups including methyl and methoxyl or electron-withdrawing groups such as trifluoromethyl, fluoro, bromo, and chloro on

<span id="page-2-0"></span>



a<br>Reaction conditions: (1) 1 (0.4 mmol), 2 (0.8 mmol), aqueous ammonia (26%, 0.4 mL), CuI (0.04 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.8 mmol), L-proline (0.08 mmol), DMSO (1.5 mL), 100 °C, N<sub>2</sub>, 12 h; (2) 100 °C, air, 8 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields are shown. <sup>c</sup>An unknown byproduct was formed.

the aryl ring at different positions were well tolerated with the reaction conditions to provide the desired 6-aryl substituted indolo[1,2-c]quinazolines 4a−4j in moderate to good yields. No obvious electronic and steric effects of these functional

# <span id="page-3-0"></span>Scheme 1. One-Pot Two-Step Synthesis of 5a from 1a, 2a, and Aqueous Ammonia



a<br>Reaction conditions: (1) 1 (0.4 mmol), 2 (0.8 mmol), aqueous ammonia (26%, 0.4 mL), CuI (0.04 mmol), K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (0.8 mmol), L-proline (0.08 mmol), DMSO (1.5 mL), 100 °C, N<sub>2</sub>, 12 h; (2) HCl (6 M), 120 °C, air, 8 h. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yields are shown.

groups were observed. 1-Naphthaldehyde and thiophene-2 carbaldehyde also underwent this cascade reaction smoothly to deliver the corresponding products 4k and 4l in 60% and 53% yields, respectively. In addition, with cinnamaldehyde and butyraldehyde, 6-alkenyl and 6-alkyl substituted indolo[1,2  $c$ ]quinazolines 4m and 4n were obtained in 56% and 35% yields. More interestingly, paraformaldehyde proved to be also compatible to generate 6-unsubstituted indolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline 4o, albeit in a lower yield. Second, several 2-(2bromoaryl)-1H-indoles (1) were tried, and the results indicated that 1 bearing different  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  groups reacted with various aldehydes (2) and aqueous ammonia smoothly to afford 4p−4x in 45%−89% yields.

Having established an efficient synthesis of indolo<sup>[1,2-]</sup>  $c$  quinazolines (4) from the one-pot cascade reaction of 2-(2bromoaryl)-1H-indoles (1) with aldehydes (2) and aqueous ammonia, we were then interested in whether  $11H$ -indolo[3,2 $c$ ]quinolines (5) could also be preferentially synthesized from

#### <span id="page-4-0"></span>Scheme 2. Control Experiments



Scheme 3. Plausible Mechanisms for Formation of 4a and 5a



the same starting materials under different reaction conditions. For this purpose, 6-phenyl-5,6-dihydroindolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline (3a) was prepared and then subjected to various reaction conditions. After several trials, $13$  we were pleased to find that treating 3a with aqueous HCl and CuI in DMSO at 120 °C under air could afford 5a in 9[4%](#page-9-0) yield. It is worth to be noted that, under these conditions, the formation of 4a was not observed. These results indicated that the pH value of the reaction system played a vital role in determining the direction of the transformation of 3a. To be specific, 3a could be selectively converted into 4a under basic conditions, as shown in Table 1. In contrast, treating 3a under acidic conditions could afford 5a in an exclusive manner. On the basis of these res[ults, we](#page-1-0) continued our study by exploring the possibility of developing a one-pot two-step synthesis of 5a directly from 1a, 2a, and aqueous ammonia. Thus, 1a was first treated with 2a

and aqueous ammonia in the presence of CuI,  $K_2CO_3$ , and Lproline under  $N_2$  at 100 °C for 12 h. Then, hydrochloric acid was added to the reaction vessel to adjust the pH value of the reaction system to a range of 5−6, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 8 h under air. It was observed that the envisioned one-pot two-step cascade reaction proceeded smoothly to provide 5a in a total yield of 81% (Scheme 1).

Next, the substrate scope for the synthesis of 11Hindolo $[3,2-c]$ quinolines  $(5)$  was studied in deta[il. As dem](#page-3-0)onstrated in Table 3, various aldehydes 2 and indoles 1 took part in this cascade reaction to give the desired  $11H$ -indolo  $3,2$ c]quinolines [5a](#page-3-0)−5o in modest to good yields. For aldehydes 2, it was found that aryl- and alkenyl-substituted aldehydes usually gave the corresponding products in yields higher than alkylsubstituted aldehydes. Moreover, it is worth noting that 6 unsubstituted  $11H$ -indolo $[3,2-c]$ quinoline 5i, which can be successfully utilized in the synthesis of the alkaloid isocryptolepine (Figure 1) by regioselective N-methylation reaction,  $3a,c,14$  could also be obtained by using paraformal dehyde as a substr[ate. For in](#page-1-0)doles 1, different  $R^1$  and  $R^2$  groups showed [a slig](#page-9-0)ht influence on the outcome of this cascade reaction.

To explore the reaction mechanism for the regioselective synthesis of indole-fused heterocycles 4 and 5, several control experiments were performed, and the results are shown in Scheme 2. First, treatment of a mixture of 1a, 2a, and aqueous ammonia by using CuI as catalyst, L-proline as ligand, and  $K_2CO_3$  as base under  $N_2$  afforded the key intermediate 3a in 80% yield (Scheme 2, eq 1). Second, copper-catalyzed crosscoupling reaction of 1a with aqueous ammonia at 100 °C under a nitrogen [atmosphere](#page-4-0) gave 2-(2-aminophenyl)-1H-indole (6) in 60% yield. The following cyclocondensation of 6 with benzaldehyde  $(2a)$  under N<sub>2</sub> afforded 3a in 82% yield (Scheme 2, eq 2). Third, treating a mixture of 3a, CuI, and  $K_2CO_3$  at 100 °C for 8 h under air could afford 4a (78%) together with 5a [\(2](#page-4-0)%). On the other hand, treatment of 3a with CuI an[d](#page-4-0) [HCl](#page-4-0) [at](#page-4-0) 120 °C for 8 h under air gave rise to 5a in 94% yield (Scheme 2, eq 3). Finally, treatment of 3a with HCl at 120 °C for 1 h under  $N_2$  afforded intermediate 7 in 85% yield. Next, 7 was [o](#page-4-0)xidized by air under the catalysis of CuI to deliver 5a [in](#page-4-0) [97%](#page-4-0) yield (Scheme 2, eq 4).

Based on the above results and previous studies, $12$  plausible mecha[nisms for](#page-4-0) the formation of 4a and 5a are illustrated in Scheme 3. First, copper-catalyzed amination of [2-\(](#page-9-0)2-bromophenyl)-1H-indole (1a) with aqueous ammonia via intermediates I and II affords 2-(2-aminophenyl)-1H-indole (6). [Subsequen](#page-4-0)t condensation of  $6$  with benzaldehyde  $(2a)$  gives rise to an imine intermediate III, which then undergoes an intramolecular N-nucleophilic addition under basic conditions to deliver the key intermediate 3a. With the promotion of CuI and  $K_2CO_3$ , 3a could be oxidized by air to afford 4a as a major product. As for the formation of 5a, it is proposed that, under acidic conditions, an addition of  $H^+$  to the 3-position of the indole-ring of 3a affords intermediate V, which then undergoes a ring-opening process to deliver VI. Rearomatization of VI, followed by intramolecular C-nucleophilic addition, gives intermediate 7. Subsequent oxidative aromatization of 7 in the presence of air and CuI generates 5a.

### ■ CONCLUSION

In conclusion, we have developed an efficient and general strategy for the selective synthesis of indolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline and 11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline derivatives via copper-catalyzed one-pot sequential reactions of 2-(2-bromoaryl)-1Hindoles, aldehydes, and aqueous ammonia. In addition, plausible reaction mechanisms for the formation of indolo-  $\left[1,2-c\right]$ quinazolines and  $11H$ -indolo $\left[3,2-c\right]$ quinolines are suggested based on the control experimental results. Compared with the literature procedures, the present synthetic route exhibits high efficiency and regioselectivity, readily obtainable starting materials, and operational simplicity. Further application of this novel method in the synthesis of bioactive compounds embedding the indolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline or  $11H$  $indolo[3,2-c]$ quinoline skeleton is currently underway in our laboratory.

#### **EXPERIMENTAL SECTION**

General Methods. 2-(2-Bromoaryl)-1H-indoles 1 were prepared by the Fischer indole synthesis.<sup>15</sup> Other reagents and solvents were

purchased from commercial sources and used as received.  $^1\mathrm{H}$  and  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra were recorded at 400 and 100 MHz, respectively. Highresolution mass spectra (HRMS) were collected in ESI mode by using a MicrOTOF mass spectrometer. All reactions were monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) using silica gel plates (silica gel 60 F254 0.25 mm), and components were visualized by observation under UV light (254 and 365 nm).

General Procedure for the Synthesis of Indolo[1,2-c] quinazolines 4. To a tube containing a solution of 2-(2 bromoaryl)-1H-indole 1 (0.4 mmol) in DMSO (1.5 mL) were added  $K_2CO_3$  (0.8 mmol), CuI (0.04 mmol), L-proline (0.08 mmol), aldehyde 2 (0.8 mmol), and 26% aqueous ammonia (0.4 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, the tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h. Next, the resulting mixture was opened to air and stirred at 100 °C for another 8 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was washed with  $H_2O$  and brine, and then dried over anhydrous  $Na_2SO_4$ . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel to afford the desired indolo $[1,2-c]$ quinazoline 4.

6-Phenylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4a).<sup>7c</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (88 mg, 75%), mp 195−<sup>197</sup> °C. <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.48 (d, J [= 8](#page-9-0).8 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49−7.58 (m, 2H), 7.62−7.70 (m, 5H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 8.11 (dd, J = 0.8, 7.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 95.8, 115.0, 120.55, 120.60, 121.6, 122.8, 123.5, 127.5, 127.9, 128.3, 129.2, 129.4, 130.4, 130.5, 131.7, 135.2, 135.9, 139.2, 149.4. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  295 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. .

6-p-Tolylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline  $(4b)$ .<sup>7c</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (20:1) as eluent; yellow solid (78 mg, 63%), mp 157−<sup>159</sup> °C. <sup>1</sup> <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  2.55 (s, 3[H\),](#page-9-0) 6.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.33 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.44 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.47−7.55 (m, 2H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.78 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.87 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 21.7, 95.8, 115.1, 120.5, 120.6, 121.5, 122.7, 123.5, 127.3, 127.9, 128.2, 129.1, 129.9, 130.4, 131.8, 133.1, 135.3, 139.3, 140.6, 149.6. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  309  $[M + H]^+$ .

6-(4-Methoxyphenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4c). <sup>16a</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (90 mg, 69%), mp 174−176 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 3.95 (s, 3[H\),](#page-9-0) 6.65 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.01−7.05 (m, 1H), 7.11−7.14 (m, 2H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.30−7.34 (m, 1H), 7.47−7.56 (m, 2H), 7.61−7.64 (m, 2H), 7.78 (d, J  $= 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.85 (dd, J = 0.8, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.10 (dd, J = 1.6, 7.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 55.5, 95.8, 114.6, 115.1, 120.5, 121.5, 122.7, 123.5, 127.3, 127.7, 128.2, 129.1, 129.9, 130.4, 131.8, 135.4, 139.2, 149.4, 161.2 (one 13C signal was not observed). HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}N_2O$   $[M + H]^+$  325.1335, found 325.1347.

6-(3,4-Dimethoxyphenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4d). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(5:1)$  as eluent; yellow solid  $(79 \text{ mg}, 56\%)$ , mp 183−185 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 3.88 (s, 3H), 4.02 (s, 3H), 6.63 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.01−7.05 (m, 1H), 7.08 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25−7.26 (m, 1H), 7.27 (s, 1H), 7.32  $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.48-7.56 \text{ (m, 2H)}, 7.77 \text{ (d, } J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.85$  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 8.10  $(dd, J = 1.2, 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 56.1, 56.2, 95.9, 111.2, 111.6, 115.2, 120.50, 120.52, 121.2, 121.6, 122.7, 123.5, 127.4, 127.7, 128.2, 129.1, 130.4, 131.7, 135.3, 139.1, 149.2, 149.6, 150.7. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{19}N_2O_2$  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> 355.1441, found 355.1459.

6-(4-(Trifluoromethyl)phenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4e). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (59 mg, 41%), mp 202−204 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 6.52 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.03−7.07 (m, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.51−7.59 (m, 2H), 7.79−7.85 (m, 4H), 7.90 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.09−8.12 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 96.2, 114.5, 120.6, 120.8, 121.9, 122.8, 123.8, 123.9 (q, J = 270.3 Hz, 1C), 126.3  $(q, J = 3.3 \text{ Hz}, 2\text{C}), 127.87, 127.91, 129.0, 129.3, 130.5, 131.3, 132.5)$  $(q, J = 33 \text{ Hz}, 1 \text{ C})$ , 135.1, 138.9, 139.3, 147.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{14}F_3N_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 363.1104, found 363.1105.

6-(4-Fluorophenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (**4f**).<sup>7c</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (30:1) as eluent; yellow solid (79 mg, 63%), mp 217−219 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.54 (d, J [=](#page-9-0) 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 7.29−7.35 (m, 3H), 7.47−7.56 (m, 2H), 7.65−7.68 (m, 2H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 96.0, 114.8, 116.5 (d, J = 22.1 Hz, 2C), 120.5, 120.7, 121.6, 122.8, 123.6, 127.6, 127.8, 129.2, 130.5, 130.6 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2C), 131.6, 132.1 (d,  $J = 3.8$  Hz, 1C), 135.2, 139.0, 148.4, 163.9 (d,  $J = 249.2$  Hz, 1C). MS (ESI)  $m/z$  313 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. .

6-(4-Bromophenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4g). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (40:1) as eluent; yellow solid (47 mg, 31%), mp 204−206 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.61 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49− 7.58 (m, 4H), 7.75−7.83 (m, 4H), 8.09 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ 96.0, 114.7, 120.6, 120.7, 121.7, 122.8, 123.7, 124.9, 127.7, 127.9, 129.2, 130.1, 130.4, 131.4, 132.5, 134.8, 135.2, 139.0, 148.2. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}BrN_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 373.0335, found 373.0364.

6-(4-Chlorophenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline  $(4h)$ .<sup>7b</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (40:1) as eluent; yellow solid (79 mg, 60%), mp 201−203 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.60 (d, J [= 8](#page-9-0).0 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.47− 7.56 (m, 2H), 7.58−7.63 (m, 4H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.83 (d, J  $= 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 96.0, 114.8, 120.5, 120.7, 121.7, 122.8, 123.7, 127.6, 127.9, 129.2, 129.6, 129.9, 130.4, 131.4, 134.3, 135.2, 136.6, 139.0, 148.2. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  329  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>. .

6-(3-Chlorophenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4i). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (40:1) as eluent; yellow solid (73 mg, 55%), mp 167−169 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.56 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.49− 7.56 (m, 4H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.78 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.84  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 8.09  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100) MHz) δ 96.1, 114.7, 120.6, 120.7, 121.8, 122.8, 123.7, 126.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.6, 129.2, 130.4, 130.6, 130.7, 131.4, 135.1, 135.4, 137.4, 138.9, 147.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}C/N$ ,  $[M + H]^+$  329.0840, found 329.0862.

6-(2-Chlorophenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4j). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (82 mg, 62%), mp 119−121 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.05 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (s, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52− 7.66 (m, 6H), 7.80 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.14 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  96.0, 113.4, 120.7, 120.8, 122.3, 122.9, 123.7, 127.8, 128.0, 129.2, 130.31, 130.32, 130.4, 131.3, 131.7, 133.4, 134.7, 135.2, 139.0, 146.7 (one 13C signal was not observed). HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}C/N_2$   $[M + H]^+$ 329.0840, found 329.0862.

6-(Naphthalen-1-yl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4k). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (20:1) as eluent; yellow solid (83 mg, 60%), mp 148−150 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 5.99 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.33–7.37 (m, 2H), 7.51−7.64 (m, 4H), 7.71−7.80 (m, 3H), 7.95−7.97 (m, 1H), 8.02 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.16–8.22 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 96.0, 114.6, 120.5, 120.8, 121.9, 122.9, 123.5, 124.7, 125.9, 126.7, 126.9, 127.6, 127.7, 128.1, 128.6, 129.2, 130.4, 130.6, 131.0, 131.3, 133.4, 133.8, 135.0, 139.3, 148.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>17</sub>N<sub>2</sub> [M  $+ H$ <sup>+</sup> 345.1386, found 345.1389.

6-(Thiophen-2-yl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4l).<sup>7b</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (64 mg, 53%), mp 163−164 °C. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl3, 400 MHz) δ 6.72−[6.7](#page-9-0)4 (m, 1H), 7.07−7.11 (m, 1H), 7.26−7.29 (m, 2H), 7.33−7.37 (m, 1H), 7.49− 7.57 (m, 3H), 7.65−7.66 (m, 1H), 7.79 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.83−7.86 (m, 1H), 8.09–8.11 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  96.0, 114.8, 120.6, 120.7, 121.7, 122.7, 123.6, 127.5, 127.8, 128.0, 128.3, 129.1, 129.2, 130.4, 131.6, 135.3, 136.0, 139.0, 143.5. MS (ESI) m/z 301  $[M + H]^{+}$ . .

(E)-6-Styrylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4m).<sup>16a</sup> Petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate (40:1) as eluent; yellow solid (72 mg, 56%), mp 182− 184 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.21 [\(s,](#page-9-0) 1H), 7.34–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.41−7.56 (m, 6H), 7.68−7.74 (m, 3H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.88 (d,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d,  $J = 16.0$  Hz, 1H), 8.05 (t,  $J =$ 8.4 Hz, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 95.6, 115.0, 120.3, 120.9, 121.2, 122.2, 122.7, 123.6, 127.1, 127.4, 127.8, 129.06, 129.13, 129.7, 130.6, 131.6, 135.1, 135.6, 138.9, 139.8, 147.7. MS (ESI) m/z 321 [M  $+ H$ <sup>+</sup>. .

6-Propylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline  $(4n)$ .<sup>7b</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (20:1) as eluent; yellow solid (36 mg, 35%), mp 95−97 °C.  $^1\rm H$ NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.23 (t, J = [7.6](#page-9-0) Hz, 3H), 2.04–2.11 (m, 2H), 3.40 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.23 (s, 1H), 7.38−7.48 (m, 3H), 7.53  $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.77 \text{ (d, } J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.84 \text{ (d, } J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H),$ 7.99 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 13.8, 19.6, 38.6, 95.6, 115.0, 120.3, 120.8, 122.2, 122.6, 123.3, 126.8, 127.0, 129.0, 130.6, 131.2, 135.2, 138.6, 151.6. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  261  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>. .

Indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (40).<sup>7b</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (10:1) as eluent; yellow solid (26 mg, 30%), mp 199−200 °C. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.14 [\(s,](#page-9-0) 1H), 7.40−7.47 (m, 2H), 7.50− 7.58 (m, 2H), 7.82−7.84 (m, 2H), 7.96 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.06 (d, J  $= 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 9.06 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  94.9, 109.9, 121.0, 121.3, 122.4, 123.2, 124.2, 127.8, 128.0, 129.2, 129.8, 130.4, 132.8, 137.2, 139.1. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  219  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>. .

10-Chloro-6-phenylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline  $(4p)$ . Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (79 mg, 60%), mp 205−207 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.34 (d, J [= 8](#page-9-0).8 Hz, 1H), 6.92 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.51−7.58 (m, 2H), 7.60−7.65  $(m, 5H)$ , 7.71 (s, 1H), 7.86 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  95.2, 115.9, 119.7, 120.2, 121.8, 122.9, 127.7, 127.9, 128.2, 129.3, 129.5, 129.6, 130.0, 130.7, 131.5, 135.4, 136.5, 139.1, 149.0. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  329  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>. .

10-Chloro-6-p-tolylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4q). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (87 mg, 64%), mp 212−214 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 2.53 (s, 3H), 6.45 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.94 (d, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.42 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.48−7.57 (m, 4H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.85 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.06  $(d, J = 7.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  21.7, 95.1, 116.0, 119.6, 120.1, 121.7, 122.8, 127.5, 127.9, 128.1, 129.2, 129.5, 130.0, 131.5, 132.6, 136.5, 139.3, 140.8, 149.1 (one 13C signal was not observed). HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}C/N_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 343.0997, found 343.1018.

10-Chloro-6-(4-chlorophenyl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4r).<sup>7b</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (110 mg, 76%), mp 244−246 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 6.49 [\(d,](#page-9-0) J = 9.2 Hz, 1H), 6.99 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.51–7.62 (m, 6H), 7.73 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.09 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  95.4, 115.6, 119.9, 120.2, 121.9, 122.9, 127.9, 128.0, 129.5, 129.67, 129.73, 129.78, 129.82, 131.5, 133.8, 136.5, 136.9, 139.0, 147.8. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  364 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. .

10-Chloro-6-(thiophen-2-yl)indolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4s).<sup>7b</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (104 mg, 78%), mp 234−236 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d<sub>6</sub>,* 400 MHz) δ 6.[59](#page-9-0) (d, J  $= 9.2$  Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, J = 1.6, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (t, J = 4.0 Hz, 1H), 7.55 (s, 1H), 7.58−7.63 (m, 3H), 7.75 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.87 (s, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H), 8.13 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz)$  δ 96.3, 116.1, 120.1, 120.3, 121.9, 123.9, 128.0, 128.2, 128.6, 128.7, 130.0, 130.3, 130.4, 131.6, 135.3, 136.7, 139.1, 143.3 (one <sup>13</sup>C signal was not observed). MS (ESI)  $m/z$  335 [M +  $H$ <sup>+</sup>. .

10-Chloro-6-propylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4t). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (20:1) as eluent; yellow solid (53 mg, 45%), mp 124−126 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 1.21 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H), 2.00−2.10 (m, 2H), 3.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 7.31 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 7.46 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.54 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.75 (m, 2H), 7.86 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H), 8.01 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ 13.9, 19.4, 38.6, 94.8, 115.9, 119.9, 120.0, 122.2, 122.7, 127.0, 127.3, 129.0, 129.3, 129.5, 131.6, 136.5, 138.9, 150.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{16}CN_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 295.0997, found 295.1025.

2-Methoxy-6-phenylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4u). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (91 mg, 70%), mp

184−186 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 3.98 (s, 3H), 6.48 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 6.98 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd,  $J = 2.4$ , 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 7.59– 7.68 (m, 5H), 7.77–7.80 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 55.7, 95.6, 104.9, 115.0, 117.3, 120.5, 121.45, 121.50, 123.3, 128.4, 129.3, 129.4, 130.2, 130.3, 131.7, 133.7, 135.2, 136.1, 147.3, 158.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}N_2O [M + H]^+$  325.1335, found 325.1365.

6-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-methoxyindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4v). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (127 mg, 89%), mp 220−222 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 3.99 (s, 3H), 6.61 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.06 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.15 (dd, J = 2.4, 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.34 (t,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 2.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.60−7.66 (m, 4H), 7.76−7.81 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 55.7, 95.8, 104.9, 114.8, 117.4, 120.7, 121.5, 121.6, 123.5, 129.4, 129.6, 130.0, 130.3, 131.5, 133.5, 134.5, 135.1, 136.4, 146.1, 158.9. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}CN_2O$   $[M + H]^+$  359.0946, found 359.0978.

2-Chloro-6-phenylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4w). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (97 mg, 74%), mp 243−245 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.29 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.59 (dd, J = 2.0, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64−7.73 (m, 7H), 7.82 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.45 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  97.9, 114.8, 121.3, 122.2, 122.4, 123.1, 124.0, 128.6, 129.6, 129.69, 129.74, 130.3, 131.0, 131.6, 132.3, 133.9, 135.9, 137.9, 149.6. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}CIN_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 329.0840, found 329.0861.

(E)-2-Chloro-6-styrylindolo[1,2-c]quinazoline (4x). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1) as eluent; yellow solid (113 mg, 80%), mp 222−224 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.36− 7.51 (m, 6H), 7.69−7.75 (m, 4H), 7.84 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.92 (d, J  $= 15.6$  Hz, 1H), 8.00 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 96.2, 115.0, 121.1, 121.4, 121.6, 122.2, 122.6, 123.7, 127.8, 129.0, 129.1, 129.3, 129.7, 130.4, 131.6, 132.4, 133.9, 135.5, 137.8, 139.7, 147.7. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{16}CIN_2$  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup> 355.0997, found 355.1009.

Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of the Intermediate 3a. To a tube containing a solution of 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1H-indole 1a (109 mg, 0.4 mmol) in DMSO (1.5 mL) were added  $K_2CO_3$  (110 mg, 0.8 mmol), CuI (7.6 mg, 0.04 mmol), L-proline (9.2 mg, 0.08 mmol), benzaldehyde  $2a$  (81  $\mu$ L, 0.8 mmol), and 26% aqueous ammonia (0.4 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, the tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated  $NH<sub>4</sub>Cl$  and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was washed with  $H_2O$  and brine, and then dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (15:1), to afford 3a (95 mg) as a yellow solid in 80% yield (mp: 205−206 °C): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 4.55 (br s, 1H), 6.65−6.68 (m, 2H), 6.85−6.93 (m, 3H), 7.00−7.12 (m, 3H), 7.21 (m, 2H), 7.26−7.29 (m, 3H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.74  $(d, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H})$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  68.7, 95.9, 110.0, 115.5, 116.2, 120.0, 120.3, 120.5, 121.6, 124.2, 126.1, 128.7, 129.0, 129.5, 133.7, 135.3, 138.8, 140.7 (one 13C signal was not observed). HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{16}N_2Na$  [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 319.1206, found 319.1205.

General Procedure for the Synthesis of 11H-Indolo[3,2 c]quinolines 5. To a tube containing a solution of 2-(2-bromoaryl)- 1H-indole 1 (0.4 mmol) in DMSO (1.5 mL) were added  $K_2CO_3$  (0.8 mmol), CuI (0.04 mmol), L-proline (0.08 mmol), aldehyde 2 (0.8 mmol), and 26% aqueous ammonia (0.4 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, the tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 12 h. After the pH value of the resulting mixture was adjusted to a range of 5−6 by addition of HCl (6 M, ca. 0.7 mL), the tube was opened to air and the reaction mixture was stirred at 120 °C for another 8 h. Upon completion, the reaction was quenched with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> and extracted with CHCl<sub>3</sub>. The combined organic layer was washed with  $H_2O$  and brine, and then dried over anhydrous Na2SO4. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the

residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel to afford the desired  $11H$ -indolo $[3,2-c]$ quinoline 5.

6-Phenyl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline  $(5a)$ . Petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent; white solid (95 mg, 81%), mp 248− 250 °C. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz) δ 7.10[−](#page-9-0)7.13 (m, 1H), 7.41− 7.45 (m, 1H), 7.52 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58−7.64 (m, 3H), 7.66−7.76 (m, 3H), 7.83 (dd, J = 1.2, 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.13−8.15 (m, 1H), 8.59 (dd,  $J = 1.2$ , 8.0 Hz, 1H), 12.92 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ , 100 MHz) δ 112.38, 112.43, 116.7, 120.7, 121.5, 122.2, 122.4, 125.8, 126.0, 128.8, 128.9, 129.28, 129.31, 129.8, 139.5, 141.2, 141.5, 145.4, 155.9. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  295 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. .

 $6$ -p-Tolyl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5b).<sup>9b</sup> Petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent; white solid (80 mg, 65%), mp 282− 284 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  2.[44](#page-9-0) (s, 3H), 7.12 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.39−7.44 (m, 3H), 7.59 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.65−7.74  $(m, 5H)$ , 8.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.57 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 12.88 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  21.5, 112.3, 112.4, 116.7, 120.6, 121.6, 122.2, 122.4, 125.7, 125.9, 128.8, 129.29, 129.33, 129.8, 138.4, 138.7, 139.5, 141.5, 145.5, 156.0. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  309  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>. .

6-(4-Methoxyphenyl)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5c).<sup>9a</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(1:1)$  as eluent; white solid  $(92 \text{ mg}, 71\%)$ , mp 278−280 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 3.89 (s, [3H](#page-9-0)), 7.15− 7.21 (m, 3H), 7.46 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.69– 7.83 (m, 5H), 8.13 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.60 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 13.17 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  55.8, 112.5, 114.3, 116.7, 120.8, 121.7, 122.4, 122.5, 125.9, 126.0, 129.0, 129.8, 130.9, 133.6, 139.6, 141.6, 145.6, 155.8, 160.4 (one 13C signal was not observed). MS (ESI)  $m/z$  325 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. .

6-(4-Chlorophenyl)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5d).<sup>9b</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(2.1)$  as eluent; white solid  $(79 \text{ mg}, 60\%)$ , mp > 300 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.15 (t, J [= 8.](#page-9-0)0 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.65−7.75 (m, 5H), 7.85 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.12 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.56−8.58 (m, 1H), 12.92 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  112.2, 112.4, 116.7, 120.8, 121.4, 121.9, 122.4, 125.9, 126.2, 128.9, 129.0, 129.8, 131.2, 134.1, 139.5, 140.0, 141.5, 145.4, 154.5. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  329 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>. .

6-(Naphthalen-1-yl)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5e). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent; white solid (85 mg, 62%), mp 298−300 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  6.40 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.79−6.83 (m, 1H), 7.23−7.32 (m, 2H), 7.37 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.46−7.51 (m, 1H), 7.66−7.79 (m, 5H), 8.06 (d, J = 8.4 Hz,  $13$ C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  112.3, 114.1, 116.9, 120.7, 120.9, 122.0, 122.5, 125.67, 125.74, 126.1, 126.3, 126.6, 126.8, 126.9, 128.8, 129.0, 129.1, 129.8, 131.4, 133.6, 138.5, 139.5, 140.9, 145.5, 155.0. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{25}H_{17}N_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 345.1386, found 345.1389.

6-(Thiophen-2-yl)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5f). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (3:1) as eluent; white solid (80 mg, 66%), mp 245−246 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.21−7.25 (m, 1H), 7.32−7.35 (m, 1H), 7.46−7.50 (m, 1H), 7.65−7.69 (m, 1H), 7.72− 7.76 (m, 2H), 7.81−7.85 (m, 2H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 8.55−8.58 (m, 1H), 12.95 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  112.0, 112.5, 116.7, 120.8, 121.6, 121.9, 122.4, 126.0, 126.1, 127.9, 128.5, 128.8, 129.1, 129.5, 139.6, 141.8, 143.9, 145.1, 149.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{19}H_{13}N_2S$   $[M + H]^+$  301.0794, found 301.0798.

 $(E)$ -6-Styryl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5q). Petroleum ether/ ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent; white solid (77 mg, 60%), mp 206− 208 °C. <sup>1</sup> H NMR (DMSO-d6, 400 MHz) δ 7.37−7.43 (m, 2H), 7.46− 7.54 (m, 3H), 7.63 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.71–7.76 (m, 2H), 7.92 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H), 8.14−8.26 (m, 3H), 8.47−8.53 (m, 2H), 12.83 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (DMSO-d6, 100 MHz) <sup>δ</sup> 112.4, 113.1, 116.8, 121.3, 122.1, 122.4, 122.7, 125.6, 125.7, 125.8, 128.1, 129.0, 129.2, 129.4, 129.6, 135.5, 136.8, 139.5, 141.4, 145.5, 151.1. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{17}N_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 321.1386, found 321.1391.

6-Propyl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5h). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(1{:}1)$  as eluent; white solid (48 mg, 46%), mp 206−208 °C.  $^1\rm H$ NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  1.08 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.87–1.96  $(m, 2H)$ , 3.36  $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H)$ , 7.35  $(t, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 7.48  $(t, J = 1)$ 8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.61 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.67–7.74 (m, 2H), 8.05 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 8.12 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 8.49 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 12.80

<span id="page-8-0"></span>(br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_{6}$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  14.5, 21.6, 39.4, 112.4, 112.8, 116.6, 121.2, 121.9, 122.2, 122.3, 125.4, 125.5, 128.6, 128.9, 139.3, 140.8, 144.9, 158.3. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{18}H_{17}N_2$   $[M + H]^+$ 261.1386, found 261.1386.

11H-Indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5i). <sup>9a</sup> Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluent; white solid (28 mg, 32%), mp > 300 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz)$  δ 7.34 (t, J [= 7](#page-9-0).6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.68−7.77 (m, 3H), 8.15 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.32 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 8.55 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 9.62 (s, 1H), 12.85 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  112.4, 114.7, 117.5, 120.6, 121.1, 122.3, 122.7, 126.1, 126.3, 128.6, 129.7, 139.3, 140.4, 145.1, 145.5. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  219  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>. .

8-Chloro-6-phenyl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5j). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(3:1)$  as eluent; white solid  $(87 \text{ mg}, 66\%)$ ; mp > 300 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 7.37−7.42 (m, 2H), 7.61− 7.63 (m, 3H), 7.66–7.70 (m, 2H), 7.73–7.79 (m, 3H), 8.11 (d,  $J = 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 8.53 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 13.04 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (DMSO $d<sub>6</sub>$ , 100 MHz) δ 111.7, 113.9, 116.6, 120.6, 122.4, 123.4, 124.9, 125.6, 126.3, 128.9, 129.2, 129.3, 129.5, 129.8, 137.9, 140.8, 142.2, 145.6, 155.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}CN_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 329.0840, found 329.0840.

2-Methoxy-6-phenyl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5k). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent; white solid (98 mg, 76%), mp 249−252 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 3.99 (s, 3H), 7.08− 7.12 (m, 1H), 7.37 (dd,  $J = 2.8$ , 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.42 (t,  $J = 8.4$  Hz, 1H), 7.51 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.56–7.62 (m, 3H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.80 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.00−8.04 (m, 2H), 12.74 (s, 1H); 13C NMR  $(DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 100 MHz) \delta 56.0, 101.5, 112.3, 112.4, 117.3, 120.3, 120.5,$ 121.5, 122.1, 125.8, 128.8, 129.1, 129.3, 131.3, 139.5, 140.9, 141.1, 141.3, 153.4, 157.4. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{17}N_2O$   $[M + H]^+$ 325.1335, found 325.1323.

6-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-methoxy-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5l). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (3:1) as eluent; white solid (88 mg, 61%), mp > 300 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  3.98 (s, 3H), 7.12−7.16 (m, 1H), 7.37 (dd, J = 2.8, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 7.41−7.45 (m, 1H), 7.53 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.64−7.66 (m, 2H), 7.72 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.82−7.84 (m, 2H), 7.99−8.03 (m, 2H), 12.77 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  56.0, 101.5, 112.2, 112.4, 117.4, 120.4, 120.7, 121.5, 121.9, 125.9, 128.9, 131.2, 131.3, 133.9, 139.5, 140.0, 140.9, 141.1, 152.0, 157.5. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}C/N_2O$  [M + H]+ 359.0946, found 359.0935.

2-Chloro-6-phenyl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5m). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(3:1)$  as eluent; white solid  $(93 \text{ mg}, 71\%)$ , mp > 300 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.13 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 7.43−7.50 (m, 2H), 7.61−7.62 (m, 3H), 7.71−7.74 (m, 2H), 7.79− 7.81 (m, 2H), 8.11 (d,  $J = 8.8$  Hz, 1H), 8.67 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 12.94  $(s, 1H)$ ; <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  112.5, 113.0, 117.5, 120.9, 121.6, 121.8, 126.2, 128.9, 129.2, 129.3, 129.5, 130.2, 131.9, 139.5, 140.5, 140.8, 143.8, 156.3 (one 13C signal was not observed). HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{14}C/N_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 329.0840, found 329.0833.

2-Chloro-6-(4-methoxyphenyl)-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5n). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (1:1) as eluent; white solid (72 mg, 50%), mp 230–232 °C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-d<sub>6</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 3.88 (s<sub>1</sub> 3H), 7.14−7.18 (m, 3H), 7.43−7.47 (m, 1H), 7.63 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.71−7.74 (m, 2H), 7.76−7.80 (m, 2H), 8.09 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H), 8.65 (d, J = 2.4 Hz, 1H), 12.91 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ 100 MHz) δ 55.7, 112.5, 112.9, 114.2, 117.4, 120.9, 121.4, 121.7, 121.9, 126.2, 129.1, 130.0, 130.8, 131.7, 133.0, 139.5, 140.5, 143.7, 156.1, 160.4. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{22}H_{16}C/N_2O [M + H]^+$ 359.0946, found 359.0946.

(E)-2-Chloro-6-styryl-11H-indolo[3,2-c]quinoline (5o). Petroleum ether/ethyl acetate (2:1) as eluent; white solid (75 mg, 53%), mp 286−288 <sup>°</sup>C. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.40−7.54 (m, 5H), 7.66−7.73 (m, 2H), 7.89 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 2H), 8.07−8.14 (m, 3H), 8.43  $(d, J = 8.4 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 8.57 \text{ (s, 1H)}, 12.81 \text{ (s, 1H)}; ^{13}C \text{ NMR} \text{ (DMSO-}d_{64})$ 100 MHz) δ 112.5, 113.5, 117.5, 121.48, 121.51, 121.8, 122.8, 125.3, 126.1, 128.1, 129.2, 129.36, 129.39, 129.7, 131.5, 135.9, 136.6, 139.5, 140.4, 143.7, 151.4. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{23}H_{16}C/N_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 355.0997, found 355.0988.

Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of Compound 6. To a tube containing a solution of 2-(2-bromophenyl)-1H-indole 1a (109 mg, 0.4 mmol) in DMSO (1.5 mL) were added  $K_2CO_3$  (110 mg, 0.8 mmol), CuI (7.6 mg, 0.04 mmol), L-proline (9.2 mg, 0.08 mmol), and 26% aqueous ammonia (0.4 mL) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, the tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred at 100 °C for 1 h. After being cooled to room temperature, the reaction was quenched with saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl and extracted with ethyl acetate. The combined organic layer was washed with  $H_2O$  and brine, and then dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(8:1)$ , to afford 6  $(50 \text{ mg})$  as a brown solid in 60% yield (mp: 146−148 °C): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)<sup>16b</sup>  $\delta$  4.12 (br s, 2H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.84 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H), 6.89  $(t, J = 7.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 7.15-7.25 \text{ (m, 3H)}, 7.42 \text{ (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 2H)}, 7.67$  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$  $(d, J = 8.0 \text{ Hz}, 1H)$ , 8.49 (br s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$ 101.6, 110.9, 116.6, 118.8, 119.1, 120.2, 120.5, 122.2, 128.9, 129.1, 129.3, 135.9, 136.2, 144.1. MS (ESI)  $m/z$  209  $[M + H]$ <sup>+</sup>. .

Typical Procedure for the Selective Synthesis of Compound 5a from 3a. To a solution of compound 3a (89 mg, 0.3 mmol) in DMSO (1 mL) were added CuI (5.7 mg, 0.03 mmol) and HCl (0.04 mL, 0.5 M) under air, and then the mixture was stirred at 120 °C for 8 h. Next, brine and  $CHCl<sub>3</sub>$  were added into the reaction mixture. After extraction, the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by chromatography on silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/ethyl acetate  $(2.1)$ , to afford 5a  $(83 \text{ mg})$  as a white solid in 94% yield.

Typical Procedure for the Synthesis of Intermediate 7 from 3a. To a tube containing a solution of compound 3a (89 mg, 0.3 mmol) in DMSO (1 mL) was added HCl (0.04 mL, 0.5 M) under a nitrogen atmosphere. Then, the tube was sealed, and the mixture was stirred at 120  $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$  for 1 h. Next, brine and CHCl<sub>3</sub> were added into the reaction mixture. After extraction, the combined organic layer was dried over anhydrous  $Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>$ . The solvent was removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, eluting with petroleum ether/dichloromethane (1:1), to afford 7 (75.3 mg) as a light yellow solid in 85% yield (mp: 209−210 °C): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  4.35 (s, 1H), 6.23 (s, 1H), 6.55  $(d, J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.74  $(dt, J = 0.8, 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 6.92–6.98  $(m, 2H)$ , 7.04−7.08 (m, 1H), 7.10−7.15 (m, 1H), 7.27−7.32 (m, 2H), 7.33− 7.37 (m, 3H), 7.49−7.52 (m, 2H), 8.26 (s, 1H); 13C NMR (CDCl3, 100 MHz) δ 57.7, 109.5, 110.8, 113.1, 113.6, 117.3, 118.8, 120.0, 120.1, 122.2, 126.1, 127.4, 127.9, 128.70, 128.73, 130.6, 137.2, 143.2, 144.8. HRMS (ESI) calcd for  $C_{21}H_{17}N_2$  [M + H]<sup>+</sup> 297.1386, found 297.1367.

#### ■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

#### **6** Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b02076.

Table S1 and  $^{1}H$  and  $^{13}C$  NMR spectra of compounds 4a−4x, 3a, 5a−[5o](http://pubs.acs.org), 6, and 7 [\(PDF\)](http://pubs.acs.org/doi/abs/10.1021/acs.joc.5b02076)

#### ■ AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

\*E-mail: shguo@htu.cn (S.G.).

\*E-mail: xuesen.fan@htu.cn (X.F.).

# Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:xuesen.fan@htu.cn)peting financial interest.

#### ■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This work was financially supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grants 21202040 and 21572047), Project Funded by China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (2014M552007 and 2015T80771), the Program for Innovative Research Team in Science and Technology in

<span id="page-9-0"></span>University of Henan Province (15IRTSTHN003), and PCSIRT (IRT1061).

# ■ REFERENCES

(1) (a) Blackman, A. J.; Hambley, T. W.; Picker, K.; Taylor, W. C.; Thirasasana, N. Tetrahedron Lett. 1987, 28, 5561. (b) Pousset, J.-L.; Martin, M.-T.; Jossang, A.; Bodo, B. Phytochemistry 1995, 39, 735. (c) Van Miert, S.; Hostyn, S.; Maes, B. U. W.; Cimanga, K.; Brun, R.; Kaiser, M.; Mátyus, P.; Dommisse, R.; Lemière, G.; Vlietinck, A.; Pieters, L. J. Nat. Prod. 2005, 68, 674. (d) Chen, Y.-L.; Chung, C.-H.; Chen, I.-L.; Chen, P.-H.; Jeng, H.-Y. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2002, 10, 2705. (e) Lu, C.-M.; Chen, Y.-L.; Chen, H.-L.; Chen, C.-A.; Lu, P.-J.; Yang, C.-N.; Tzeng, C.-C. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2010, 18, 1948.

(2) (a) Rohini, R.; Reddy, P. M.; Shanker, K.; Hu, A.; Ravinder, V. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 45, 1200. (b) Rohini, R.; Shanker, K.; Reddy, P. M.; Sekhar, V. C.; Ravinder, V. Arch. Pharm. 2009, 342, 533. (c) Rohini, R.; Reddy, P. M.; Shanker, K.; Hu, A.; Ravinder, V. J. Braz. Chem. Soc. 2010, 21, 897.

(3) (a) Aroonkit, P.; Thongsornkleeb, C.; Tummatorn, J.; Krajangsri, S.; Mungthin, M.; Ruchirawat, S. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 94, 56. (b) Wang, N.; Wicht, K. J.; Imai, K.; Wang, M.-Q.; Ngoc, T. A.; Kiguchi, R.; Kaiser, M.; Egan, T. J.; Inokuchi, T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2014, 22, 2629. (c) Whittell, L. R.; Batty, K. T.; Wong, R. P. M.; Bolitho, E. M.; Fox, S. A.; Davis, T. M. E.; Murray, P. E. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2011, 19, 7519. (d) Wang, L.; Switalska, M.; Wang, N.; Du, Z.- ́ J.; Fukumoto, Y.; Diep, N. K.; Kiguchi, R.; Nokami, J.; Wietrzyk, J.; Inokuchi, T. Molecules 2014, 19, 19021. (e) Wang, N.; Switalska, M.; ́ Wu, M.-Y.; Imai, K.; Ngoc, T. A.; Pang, C.-Q.; Wang, L.; Wietrzyk, J.; Inokuchi, T. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 78, 314.

(4) Falke, H.; Chaikuad, A.; Becker, A.; Loaëc, N.; Lozach, O.; Abu Jhaisha, S.; Becker, W.; Jones, P. G.; Preu, L.; Baumann, K.; Knapp, S.; Meijer, L.; Kunick, C. J. Med. Chem. 2015, 58, 3131.

(5) Molina, A.; Vaquero, J. J.; Garcia-Navio, J. L.; Alvarez-Builla, J.; de Pascual-Teresa, B.; Gago, F.; Rodrigo, M. M.; Ballesteros, M. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 5587.

(6) (a) Kiang, A. K.; Mann, F. G.; Prior, A. F.; Topham, A. J. Chem. Soc. 1956, 1319. (b) Frère, S.; Thiéry, V.; Bailly, C.; Besson, T. Tetrahedron 2003, 59, 773.

(7) (a) Sang, P.; Xie, Y.; Zou, J.; Zhang, Y. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 3894. (b) Liu, Q.; Yang, H.; Jiang, Y.; Zhao, Y.; Fu, H. RSC Adv. 2013, 3, 15636. (c) Zhang, H.; Jin, Y.; Liu, H.; Jiang, Y.; Fu, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 2012, 6798.

(8) (a) Wang, Y.; Zhu, Q. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 1902. (b) Nanjo, T.; Yamamoto, S.; Tsukano, C.; Takemoto, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 3754.

(9) (a) Xu, M.; Hou, Q.; Wang, S.; Wang, H.; Yao, Z.-J. Synthesis 2011, 2011, 626. (b) Abbiati, G.; Arcadi, A.; Chiarini, M.; Marinelli, F.; Pietropaolo, E.; Rossi, E. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 7801. (c) Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G.; Pace, P.; Marinelli, F. Synlett 1999, 1999, 620.

(10) (a) Molina, P.; Alajarin, M.; Vidal, A. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 1063. (b) Molina, P.; Alajarin, M.; Vidal, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 2847.

(11) (a) Okano, K.; Tokuyama, H.; Fukuyama, T. Chem. Commun. 2014, 50, 13650. (b) Beletskaya, I. P.; Cheprakov, A. V. Organometallics 2012, 31, 7753. (c) Wang, Y.; Ling, J.; Zhang, Y.; Zhang, A.; Yao, Q. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 2015, 4153 and references cited therein. (d) Wang, D.; Cai, Q.; Ding, K. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 1722. (e) Xia, N.; Taillefer, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 337. (12) (a) Guo, S.; Wang, J.; Fan, X.; Zhang, X.; Guo, D. J. Org. Chem.

2013, 78, 3262. (b) Fan, X.; Li, B.; Guo, S.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, X. Chem. - Asian J. 2014, 9, 739. (c) Zhang, X.-Y.; Guo, X.-J.; Fan, X.-S. Chem. - Asian J. 2015, 10, 106. (d) Fan, X.-S.; Zhang, J.; Li, B.; Zhang, X.-Y. Chem. - Asian J. 2015, 10, 1281. (e) Li, B.; Guo, C.; Fan, X.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2014, 55, 5944. (f) Guo, S.; Li, Y.; Tao, L.; Zhang, W.; Fan, X. RSC Adv. 2014, 4, 59289. (g) Guo, S.; Wang, J.; Li, Y.; Fan, X. Tetrahedron 2014, 70, 2383. (h) Li, B.; Guo, S.; Zhang, J.; Zhang, X.; Fan, X. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 5444.

(13) For details, please see Table S1 in the Supporting Information.

(14) (a) Uchuskin, M. G.; Pilipenko, A. S.; Serdyuk, O. V.; Trushkov, I. V.; Butin, A. V. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2012, 10, 7262. (b) Gengan, R. M.; Pandian, P.; Kumarsamy, C.; Mohan, P. S. Molecules 2010, 15, 3171. (c) Kumar, R. N.; Suresh, T.; Mohan, P. S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3327.

(15) Liu, Y.; McWhorter, W. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 4240. (16) (a) Xu, M.; Xu, K.; Wang, S.; Yao, Z.-J. Tetrahedron Lett. 2013, 54, 4675. (b) Billimoria, A. D.; Cava, M. P. J. Org. Chem. 1994, 59, 6777.